



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,365	07/07/2003	Christopher J. M. Meade	01-1364	7867
28519 7590 03/23/2010 MICHAEL P. MORRIS BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM USA CORPORATION 900 RIDGEBURY RD P O BOX 368 RIDGEFIELD, CT 06877-0368				
EXAMINER OLSON, ERIC				
ART UNIT 1623		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 03/23/2010		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO.e-Office.rdg@boehringer-ingelheim.com

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/614,365 Examiner ERIC S. OLSON	Applicant(s) MEADE ET AL. <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="padding: 2px 5px;">Art Unit</th> <th style="width: 20%;"></th> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px 5px;">1623</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit		1623	
Art Unit						
1623						

All Participants:
 (1) ERIC S. OLSON.
 (2) John A. Sopp.

Date of Interview: 16 March 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Status of Application: _____
 (3) _____.
 (4) _____.

Time: 12:00 pm

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's representative confirmed that the application is abandoned.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Eric S Olson/
Examiner, Art Unit 1623

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)